

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/972,742 10/05/2001		David Muradian	HEUR-009CIA.	5363	
7	590 08/25/2003				
Michael A. Blake Sierra Patent Group, Ltd. P.O. Box 6149			EXAMINER		
			PERT, EVAN T		
Stateline, NV 89449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2829		
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/972,742	MURADIAN ET	MURADIAN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Evan Pert	2829					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>05 C</u>	October 2001 .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
_	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-18</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 October 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-		5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper Noted Informal Patent Application (Formal Patent					
C. Delect and Trademark Office								

Application/Control Number: 09/972,742

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action.

Specification

- 2. The abstract is objected to for containing subject matter inappropriate for summarizing the claimed invention. At p. 47, lines 10-14, the paragraph beginning "It is emphasized that..." should be deleted to overcome this objection. While the examiner appreciates applicant's recitation of patent office rules as part of the abstract, the anticipatory statement is inappropriate for an abstract used for summary of the invention. The abstract is provided as a summary, to aid in searching, for example.
- 3. At page 12, line 1, "Xmin, Ymin" should seemingly read "Xint, Yint".
- 4. At page 13, line 7, "wafer 10" should read --wafer 100--.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/972,742 Page 3

Art Unit: 2829

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,586,263.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 of the instant case (i.e. the method) and claims 2-3 of the instant case (i.e. apparatus for performing the method) are obvious variants of the patented claim 2, collectively broader in scope.

Regarding claim 1, the step of "collecting" encompasses the step of "creating" in the patented claim 1, the step of "filtering" encompasses the steps of "defining" and "detecting" in the patented claim 1, the step of "determining" encompasses the step of "determining whether said actual spacings are randomly distributed", the step of "selecting pairs" encompasses "indentifying dense zones", and the "calculating" encompasses the "calculating" (i.e. developing an estimate) of the patented claim 2.

Regarding claims 2-3, the "apparatus" claimed has no particular structure claimed, but rather is drawn to an apparatus with "means" for performing the claimed steps of the method of claim 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to develop an automated apparatus such as a computer program to practice the method of claim 1 of the issued patent, motivated to automatically handle large amounts of data by computer as is well known in the art of data processing methodology.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-18 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,742

Art Unit: 2829

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The qualified prior art, presuming the exclusion of applicant's U.S. Patent 6,586,263 by Terminal Disclaimer, does not disclose applicant's method and apparatus particularly characterized by determining offset between origins of overlying layer patterns by statistical correlation of interlayer defect data pairs.

Page 4

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evan Pert whose telephone number is 703-306-5689. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30AM-3:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 703-308-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0956.

ETP

August 18, 2003